

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,130	08/22/2003	Gregg W. Frey	2003P09222US	8859
7590 02/28/2006			EXAMINER	
Siemens Corporation			LONEY, DONALD J	
Intellectual Proj	perty Department			
170 Wood Avenue South		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Iselin, NJ 08830			1772	

DATE MAILED: 02/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			5
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
Advisory Action	10/646,130	FREY ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Donald Loney	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 February 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu g date of the final rejection.	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing data.	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re-		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rejo	ected claims.	
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 	will not be entered, or b) will will will will will will will	l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a

See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). Other: Other:

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

Donald Loney Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1772

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's argument's that the Jepson type format of claim 1 positively recites a matching layer. While true, the claim structure is a layer containing aligned conductors relative to the top and bottom surface at least partially therein, which is shown by the prior art. The preamble does not mention a backing layer in order to distinguish the layers of the invention from the prior art. It also fails to positively recite a transducer. It is the "when used on a sonic transducer" that is intended use and does not structurally distinguish the claimed invention in combination with any other limitations from the prior art (i.e. the backing layer from the matching layer). In order for the claims to distinguish layers from the prior art the claim would have to be in the form of (e.g.) A sonic transducer containing a backing layer and a matching layer the improvement comprising a conductor as recited in the last two lines of the claim.